# Vapor-Liquid Equilibria for the Binary Systems n-Octane with 2-Methylpentane, 3-Methylpentane, and 2,4-Dimethylpentane 
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Vapor mole fractions and excess free energies, enthalples, and entroples are calculated from pressure-composition values measured at $10,20,30$, and $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three branched hydrocarbons in $n$-octane. This is the second in a study of the effect of chain branching on the thermodynamic excess properties of hydrocarbon solutions. The previous study Involved the same branched hydrocarbons in $\pi$-hexane.

## Experimental Methods

The vapor-pressure equipment used and the experimental methods have been described previously (2) with modifications (3), but a brief description will be given. The apparatus is a static vapor-pressure device that allows two samples to be run simultaneously over a range of temperatures. The apparatus contains two sample flasks and a reference flask connected by ball joints to a glass manifold containing two manometers which record the pressure difference between a reference liquid and the samples. The entire assembly is suspended in a water bath and can be oscillated to hasten equilibrium. The manometers are read with a cathetometer through a plate-glass wall. The suspended manifold and flask assembly is connected through a convenient valve arrangement to an external manifold to which a nitrogen supply, a vacuum pump, a McLeod gauge, and an external manometer are connected. The sample and reference flasks are disconnected for filling and degassing. After the flasks are first filled with dry nitrogen, the sample components or the reference liquid is introduced into the flasks by a long needle and syringe. The composition of the samples is determined by weighing the syringes. The samples and the reference liquid are degassed by controlled boiling, freezing, and evacuating. After all flasks are reinstalled and the connecting manifold is evacuated, the flasks are opened and the entire apparatus is agitated in the constant-temperature bath until equilibrium is indicated by constant manometer readings.

For vapor pressures below $\sim 100 \mathrm{mmHg}$, the reference liquid is not used, and direct readings are made. Higher pressures exceed the manometer length, and pressures are determined from the manometer reading and the known vapor pressure of the reference liquid. In this work either $n$-hexane or 2,4 -dimethylpentane was used as a reference.

The temperature of the bath is controlled with a Hallikainen Model 1053A thermistor activated controller. Temperatures are measured with a platinum resistance thermometer, Leeds and Northrup Model 8163-B with a Leeds and Northrup Mueller Bridge and null point detector. Both the controller and the thermometer are sensitive to $0.001^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Gradients in the bath are just barely detectable, and temperature measurements are made quite near the flasks. Temperatures are believed to be accurate within $0.01^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The mercury manometers were read to 0.01 mmHg with a Scientific Corp. Gaernter cathetometer. Reproducibility was

Table I. Pure-Component Vapor Pressures ( mmHg )

|  | $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| n-octane (measured) | 5.40 | 10.19 | 18.71 | 31.67 |
| API | 5.64 | 10.44 | 18.43 | 31.07 |
| 2-methylpentane (measured) | 109.38 | 171.52 | 259.16 | 380.37 |
| API | 109.73 | 171.66 | 259.41 | 380.11 |
| 3-methylpentane (measured) | 97.44 | 153.63 | 233.52 | 343.65 |
| API | 97.50 | 153.46 | 233.08 | 343.02 |
| 2,4-dimethylpentane (measured) | 48.15 | 78.77 | 122.72 | 186.31 |
| API | 47.88 | 78.19 | 122.80 | 186.31 |

within $\sim 0.03 \mathrm{mmHg}$ at each meniscus so that overall reading error was less than 0.1 mmHg and probably within 0.05 mmHg . The readings were corrected for temperature and gravity. The sample compositions were made by weight, and the calculated value was used. Both before degassing and after the experiment, each sample was analyzed on a chromatograph until reproducibility within $\sim 0.1 \%$ was obtained. If a persistent but small change of less than $\sim 0.5 \%$ resulted, the composition would be corrected. Larger deviations resulted in discard of the runs. Concentrations are believed accurate to $0.1 \%$. The chromatograph was the same used previously (3), and separation was excellent.

The research chemicals were "pure" grade obtained from Phillips Petroleum Co. These were distilled on a Nester-Faust spinning band column to purities equal to or exceeding $99.9 \%$ as measured by the chromatograph. The purified materials were stored under nitrogen until used.

## Calculation of Vapor Compositions

The vapor compositions, activity coefficients, and excess free energies were calculated by the method of Barker (1). It was assumed that the excess free energy can be represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\mathrm{E}}=x_{1} x_{2}\left[A+B\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)+C\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which

$$
\begin{align*}
& R T \ln \gamma_{1}=x_{2}^{2}\left[A-B\left(1-4 x_{1}\right)+C\left(1-8 x_{1}+12 x_{1}^{2}\right)\right]  \tag{2}\\
& R T \ln \gamma_{2}=x_{1}^{2}\left[A+B\left(1-4 x_{2}\right)+C\left(1-8 x_{2}+12 x_{2}^{2}\right)\right] \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Then at low pressure the total pressure can be approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\gamma_{1} P_{1}^{\prime} x_{1}+\gamma_{2} P_{2}^{\prime} x_{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{1}^{\prime}=P_{1} \exp \left[\left(v_{1}-\beta_{11}\right)\left(P-P_{1}\right) /(R T)-P \delta_{12} y_{2}^{2} /(R T)\right]  \tag{5}\\
P_{2}^{\prime}=P_{2} \exp \left[\left(v_{2}-\beta_{22}\right)\left(P-P_{2}\right) /(R T)-P \delta_{12} y_{1}^{2} /(R T)\right]  \tag{6}\\
\delta_{12}=2 \beta_{12}-\beta_{11}-\beta_{22} \tag{7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Table II. Measured Pressure and Calculated Vapor Mole Fractions

| $x_{1}$ | $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $y_{1}$ | $P, \mathrm{mmHg}$ | $y_{1}$ | $P, \mathrm{mmHg}$ | $y_{1}$ | $P, \mathrm{mmHg}$ | $y_{1}$ | $P, \mathrm{mmHg}$ |
| 20-Octane (1)-2-Methylpentane (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.1053 | 0.0070 | 99.00 | 0.0081 | 155.25 | 0.0095 | 234.67 | 0.0108 | 343.56 |
| 0.1856 | 0.0128 | 91.28 | 0.0149 | 142.98 | 0.0177 | 215.90 | 0.0203 | 315.69 |
| 0.2523 | 0.0181 | 84.83 | 0.0214 | 132.62 | 0.0256 | 200.21 | 0.0294 | 292.89 |
| 0.3129 | 0.0237 | 78.79 | 0.0281 | 123.21 | 0.0337 | 186.15 | 0.0389 | 271.82 |
| 0.4189 | 0.0357 | 68.18 | 0.0427 | 106.45 | 0.0514 | 160.79 | 0.0594 | 235.16 |
| 0.5038 | 0.0484 | 59.75 | 0.0583 | 93.01 | 0.0701 | 140.74 | 0.0809 | 205.98 |
| 0.5129 | 0.0500 | 58.80 | 0.0602 | 91.53 | 0.0724 | 138.38 | 0.0835 | 202.63 |
| 0.6047 | 0.0693 | 49.41 | 0.0838 | 76.87 | 0.1007 | 116.55 | 0.1159 | 170.93 |
| 0.6958 | 0.0975 | 39.75 | 0.1178 | 62.15 | 0.1415 | 94.40 | 0.1623 | 139.14 |
| 0.7713 | 0.1336 | 31.98 | 0.1609 | 50.03 | 0.1928 | 76.14 | 0.2202 | 112.83 |
| 0.8381 | 0.1858 | 24.63 | 0.2218 | 38.95 | 0.2646 | 60.08 | 0.2999 | 89.75 |
| 0.9478 | 0.4251 | 12.10 | 0.4815 | 20.18 | 0.5474 | 32.52 | 0.5944 | 50.63 |
| $n$-Octane (1)-3-Methylpentane (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.1041 | 0.0075 | 88.10 | 0.0087 | 138.99 | 0.0103 | 211.47 | 0.0117 | 311.03 |
| 0.1780 | 0.0135 | 81.70 | 0.0157 | 128.80 | 0.0186 | 196.00 | 0.0213 | 288.52 |
| 0.2963 | 0.0247 | 71.60 | 0.0289 | 112.71 | 0.0345 | 171.31 | 0.0396 | 252.40 |
| 0.3750 | 0.0337 | 64.70 | 0.0397 | 101.85 | 0.0477 | 154.71 | 0.0547 | 228.13 |
| 0.4028 | 0.0373 | 62.25 | 0.0440 | 98.03 | 0.0531 | 148.95 | 0.0608 | 219.70 |
| 0.4930 | 0.0510 | 54.46 | 0.0607 | 85.58 | 0.0737 | 129.83 | 0.0843 | 191.82 |
| 0.4944 | 0.0512 | 54.30 | 0.0610 | 85.39 | 0.0740 | 129.48 | 0.0847 | 191.33 |
| 0.6133 | 0.0774 | 43.88 | 0.0928 | 68.68 | 0.1130 | 104.10 | 0.1289 | 154.48 |
| 0.6899 | 0.1032 | 36.75 | 0.1240 | 57.40 | 0.1503 | 87.55 | 0.1708 | 130.20 |
| 0.7888 | 0.1592 | 26.90 | 0.1905 | 42.35 | 0.2266 | 66.06 | 0.2555 | 99.01 |
| 0.8251 | 0.1923 | 23.20 | 0.2289 | 36.81 | 0.2692 | 57.80 | 0.3020 | 87.39 |
| 0.8940 | 0.2978 | 16.20 | 0.3471 | 26.38 | 0.3946 | 42.51 | 0.4354 | 65.29 |
| 0.1327 n-Octane (1)-2,4-Dimethylpentane (2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.1327 | 0.0200 | 42.74 | 0.0223 | 69.87 | 0.0255 | 109.38 | 0.0277 | 166.10 |
| 0.2156 | 0.0342 | 39.54 | 0.0385 | 64.61 | 0.0438 | 101.05 | 0.0478 | 153.63 |
| 0.3157 | 0.0537 | 35.70 | 0.0611 | 58.19 | 0.0696 | 91.24 | 0.0763 | 138.64 |
| 0.3550 | 0.0623 | 33.95 | 0.0712 | 55.55 | 0.0812 | 87.31 | 0.0891 | 132.91 |
| 0.4343 | 0.0821 | 30.89 | 0.0943 | 50.50 | 0.1078 | 79.35 | 0.1185 | 121.06 |
| 0.4928 | 0.0993 | 28.50 | 0.1143 | 46.62 | 0.1310 | 73.40 | 0.1441 | 112.04 |
| 0.4966 | 0.1005 | 28.30 | 0.1157 | 46.43 | 0.1327 | 73.12 | 0.1459 | 111.45 |
| 0.5470 | 0.1179 | 26.36 | 0.1358 | 43.15 | 0.1561 | 67.98 | 0.1717 | 103.65 |
| 0.6121 | 0.1450 | 23.73 | 0.1668 | 38.83 | 0.1921 | 61.20 | 0.2112 | 93.75 |
| 0.7087 | 2.2002 | 19.68 | 0.2287 | 32.46 | 0.2635 | 51.37 | 0.2890 | 78.87 |
| 0.7875 | 0.2689 | 16.01 | 0.3038 | 26.80 | 0.3479 | 42.85 | 0.3795 | 66.32 |
| 0.8550 | 0.3620 | 12.90 | 0.4022 | 21.90 | 0.4544 | 35.49 | 0.4906 | 55.56 |
| 0.8963 | 0.4493 | 10.67 | 0.4916 | 18.51 | 0.5466 | 30.68 | 0.5838 | 48.75 |

Table III. Constants for the Equation

|  | $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Octane (1)-2-Methylpentane (2) |  |  |  |  |
| A | 110.7448 | 85.7438 | 58.6920 | 39.0481 |
| $B$ | -4.2398 | 0.8023 | -3.8305 | -3.0097 |
| C | 36.0857 | 37.3222 | 21.8454 | 10.8311 |
| Octane (1)-3-Methylpentane (2) |  |  |  |  |
| A | 95.2831 | 67.3656 | 50.1011 | 37.8878 |
| $B$ | -24.7518 | -27.3576 | -12.8094 | -15.7427 |
| C | -13.7939 | -9.6536 | 7.1075 | 1.3399 |
| Octane (1)-2,4-Dimethylpentane (2) |  |  |  |  |
| A | 127.3381 | 106.6663 | 77.1298 | 53.2631 |
| $B$ | -0.5922 | 12.3576 | -0.7784 | -5.2112 |
| C | 0.8031 | 0.7608 | 5.1951 | 0.8085 |

The correction for llquid volume was included but is practically negligtble at low pressure. The second virial coefficients were estimated as recommended by Prausnitz et al. (4). To get initia values of $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ for eq 5 and 6 , we used eq 8 to approx-

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \simeq 4 R T \ln \left[2 P_{x=0.5} /\left(P_{1}+P_{2}\right)\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

imate $A$ with eq 2 and 3 terminated after the first constant. Then substituting eq $2,3,5$, and 6 into eq 4 , we used a trial-and-error least-squares procedure to calculate the best values of $A, B$, and $C$. After each trial, the vapor compositions were
recalculated for eq 5 and 6 by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=\gamma_{1} P_{1}^{\prime} x_{1} / P \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Results

The vapor pressures of the pure components are given in Table I and compared with values calculated from Antoine's constants given in ref 5. The measured solution pressures are given in Table II along with calculated values of the vapor mole fractions. The constants calculated for eq 1-3 are listed in Table III. Table IV gives two examples of the total output and the error in pressure resulting from the use of the derived equations.

Figures $1-3$ show the calculated excess properties at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The values of $h^{\mathrm{E}}$ were derived from plots of $g^{\mathrm{E}} / T$ vs. $1 / T$ as shown in Figure 4. The degree of precision in $g^{\mathrm{E}}$ is shown by the smooth curves. The maximum error in pressure resulting from a temperature error of $0.01^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is 0.1 mmHg and usually much less.

Equation 8 would indicate that an error of 0.1 mmHg in pressure could produce a maximum error in $g^{E}$ of up to 2 $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Because of the smoothing resulting from the fitting procedure, such an error is very unlikely from random errors. The derived values of $h^{\mathrm{E}}$ and $T s^{\mathrm{E}}$ are considerably less reliable.

Values of $g^{\mathrm{E}}$ are about three times larger than in the hexane system (3) but follow the same trend, 2,4-dimethylpentane $>$ 2-methylpentane > 3-methylpentane. Values of $h^{\mathrm{E}}$ follow the

Table IV. Measured and Calculated Properties

| $x_{1}$ | $y_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P_{\text {exptl }}, \\ & \text { mmHg } \end{aligned}$ | $P_{\text {calcd }}$, mmHg | $P_{\text {exptl }}-$ <br> $P_{\text {calcd }}$, mmHg | $\gamma_{1}$ | $\gamma_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} g^{\mathrm{E}} \\ \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} g^{\mathrm{E}} / T \\ \mathrm{cal} /(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~K}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$-Octane (1)-3-Methylpentane (2) at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.1041 | 0.0117 | 311.030 | 311.141 | -0.111 | 1.06317 | 1.00145 | 4.77 | 0.01524 |
| 0.1780 | 0.0213 | 288.520 | 288.430 | +0.089 | 1.04709 | 1.00394 | 7.11 | 0.02269 |
| 0.2963 | 0.0396 | 252.400 | 252.288 | +0.111 | 1.02788 | 1.00967 | 9.28 | 0.02964 |
| 0.3750 | 0.0547 | 228.130 | 228.209 | -0.079 | 1.01876 | 1.01421 | 9.82 | 0.03136 |
| 0.4028 | 0.0608 | 219.700 | 219.680 | +0.020 | 1.01612 | 1.01588 | 9.86 | 0.03149 |
| 0.4930 | 0.0843 | 191.820 | 191.859 | -0.039 | 1.00936 | 1.02136 | 9.53 | 0.03041 |
| 0.4944 | 0.0847 | 191.330 | 191.425 | -0.095 | 1.00927 | 1.02145 | 9.51 | 0.03038 |
| 0.6133 | 0.1289 | 154.480 | 154.369 | +0.111 | 1.00381 | 1.02826 | 8.16 | 0.02604 |
| 0.6899 | 0.1708 | 130.200 | 130.258 | $-0.058$ | 1.00182 | 1.03205 | 6.87 | 0.02193 |
| 0.7888 | 0.2555 | 99.010 | 98.913 | +0.096 | 1.00049 | 1.03587 | 4.87 | 0.01555 |
| 0.8251 | 0.3020 | 87.390 | 87.363 | +0.027 | 1.00025 | 1.03691 | 4.07 | 0.01300 |
| 0.8940 | 0.4354 | 65.290 | 65.409 | -0.119 | 1.00003 | 1.03823 | 2.49 | 0.00796 |
| $n$-Octane (1)-2,4-Dimethylpentane (2) at $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.1327 | 0.0200 | 42.700 | 42.754 | -0.014 | 1.18629 | 1.00411 | 14.76 | 0.05210 |
| 0.2156 | 0.0342 | 39.540 | 39.475 | +0.064 | 1.14940 | 1.01082 | 21.64 | 0.07640 |
| 0.3157 | 0.0537 | 35.700 | 35.555 | +0.144 | 1.11145 | 1.02317 | 27.58 | 0.09740 |
| 0.3550 | 0.0623 | 33.950 | 34.016 | -0.066 | 1.09835 | 1.02931 | 29.21 | 0.10316 |
| 0.4343 | 0.0821 | 30.890 | 30.895 | -0.005 | 1.07477 | 1.04397 | 31.31 | 0.11056 |
| 0.4928 | 0.0993 | 28.500 | 28.565 | -0.065 | 1.05968 | 1.05681 | 31.83 | 0.11241 |
| 0.4966 | 0.1005 | 28.300 | 28.412 | -0.112 | 1.05876 | 1.05770 | 31.83 | 0.11242 |
| 0.5470 | 0.1179 | 26.360 | 26.374 | -0.014 | 1.04735 | 1.07028 | 31.54 | 0.11139 |
| 0.6121 | 0.1450 | 26.730 | 23.688 | +0.041 | 1.03454 | 1.08859 | 30.21 | 0.10669 |
| 0.7087 | 0.2002 | 19.680 | 19.557 | +0.122 | 1.01927 | 1.12027 | 26.27 | 0.09276 |
| 0.7875 | 0.2869 | 16.010 | 16.019 | -0.009 | 1.01031 | 1.15046 | 21.30 | 0.07521 |
| 0.8550 | 0.3620 | 12.900 | 12.838 | +0.061 | 1.00480 | 1.17972 | 15.78 | 0.05574 |
| 0.8963 | 0.4493 | 10.670 | 10.811 | -0.141 | 1.00246 | 1.19929 | 11.84 | 0.04181 |



Figure 1. Excess thermodynamic functions for n-octane-2-methylpentane solutions at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
same trend as $g^{\mathrm{E}}$ in this work but do not in the hexane systems. Values of $h^{E}$ in the hexane solutions are only about one-sixth


Figure 2. Excess thermodynamic functions for $n$-octane-3-methylpentane solutions at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
those in the octane solutions, and It is possible that the inherent error of deriving $h^{\mathrm{E}}$ from the slope of $g^{\mathrm{E}} / T$ is as large as the differences in the former systems.


Flgure 3. Excess thermodynamic functions for $n$-octane-2,4-dimethylpentane solutions at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Glossary

$\begin{array}{ll}A, B, C & \begin{array}{l}\text { constants in expansions of } g^{\mathrm{E}} \\ g^{\mathrm{E}}\end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { excess } \mathrm{Gibbs} \text { free energy, cal } / \mathrm{mol} \\ h^{\mathrm{E}}\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { excess enthalpy, cal/ } \mathrm{mol} \\ P\end{array} \\ \begin{array}{ll}\text { total pressure, } \mathrm{mmHg}\end{array} \\ P_{\text {exptl, }} & \text { experimental and calculated values of the total } \\ P_{\text {calcd }} & \text { pressure, } \mathrm{mmHg} \\ P_{1}, P_{2} & \text { vapor pressures of components } 1 \text { and } 2, \mathrm{mmHg}\end{array}$


Flique 4. $g^{\mathrm{E}} / T$ vs. $1 / T$ for $n$-octane-2-methylpentane at various octane mole fractions.

| $v$ | molar volume in mL |
| :--- | :--- |
| $x$ | mole fraction in liquid |
| $y$ | mole fraction in vapor |

Greek Letters

| $\beta$ | virial coefficients |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\delta$ | defined by eq 9 |
| $\gamma$ | actlvity coefficient |
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